arXiv:math/0403198v1 [math.PR] 11 Mar 2004

February 1, 2008

THE POISSON BOUNDARY OF RANDOM RATIONAL AFFINITIES

Sara Brofferio[1]

Abstract. The group of affine transformations with rational coefficients $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$ acts naturally on the real line $\mathbb{R}$, but also on the p-adic fields $\mathbb{Q}_p$. The aim of this note is to show that, for random walks whose laws have a finite first moment, all these actions are necessary and sufficient to describe the Poisson boundary, which is in fact the product of all the fields that contract in mean.

Introduction

Random walks are processes on a group G defined as iterated products of independent and identically distributed random elements and are a natural probabilistic way to explore the algebraic structures and their underlying geometry. The complex interaction between these mathematical objects can be illustrated by the Poisson boundary. The latter can be defined pure measure theoretically as the space that contains all the informations on the long range behavior of the random walk, but it is also the maximal one among the μ-boundaries, which are the topological G-spaces that are stable and contracting under the action of the random walk. Furthermore it has an interpretation from an analytic viewpoint, since it provides an integral representation of all harmonic bounded functions.

The study of random walks on the group of rational affinities $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$, which is the group of transformations of the form $x \mapsto ax+b$ (or equivalently of the matrices $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$) where the coefficients $a \neq 0$ and b are rational numbers, is a good example of how a quite elementary probabilistic process is related to sophisticated arithmetic spaces. This countable group has a natural action on the real line $\mathbb{R}$ and is a dense subgroup the group of real affine transformations. One can obtain interesting results concerning the behavior of the random walks on $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$ using the powerful theory developed on Lie groups, when no continuity hypothesis on the measure is assumed (for instance [Kes73], [BP92], [BBE97] or [Bro03]). Nevertheless the Poisson boundary for random walk on $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$ can not be studied in such a way. In fact, while, for random walk with a spread out law on $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R})$, the boundary is either $\mathbb{R}$ or trivial (see L.Elie [Éli84]), V.Kaimanovich [Kai91] showed that for random walk supported by the group of affine transformations with dyadic coefficients the Poisson boundary is either the real line or the other possible completion of the dyadic line, namely, the 2-adic field $\mathbb{Q}_2$. It was suggested that a complete understanding of the asymptotic behavior of the random walks on $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$ could be obtained by

[1]Institut für Mathematik C – Technische Universität Graz
Steyergasse 30 – A-8010 Graz
brofferio@finanz.math.tu-graz.ac.at
http://finanz.math.tu-graz.ac.at/~brofferio/
AMS classification: 60B99, 60J50, 43A05, 22E35
Key words: Poisson boundary, affine group, rational numbers, p-adic numbers.
Supported by Marie Curie Fellowship HPMF-CT-2002-02137

considering simultaneously the actions on $\mathbb{R}$ and on all p-adic fields $\mathbb{Q}_p$where p is in $\mathcal{P}$, the set of all prime numbers.

Since the formal structure of the real and p-adic fields is similar, they can often be approached in a similar way and, in order to unify the notation, it is common to associate the real setting to the "prime number" $p = \infty$, thus $\mathbb{Q}_\infty = \mathbb{R}$. Under first moment conditions, the parameter that determines whether the action of $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$ is contracting in mean on the field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is the p-drift

$$\phi_p = \int_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})} \ln |a|_p \, d\mu(a,b),$$

where μ is the step law of the random walk. When ϕ_p is negative, there is a unique μ-invariant probability measure on $\mathbb{Q}_p$, which is in fact a non-trivial μ-boundary.

The aim of this note is to show that, for all measures with a first moment on $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$, the Poisson boundary is the product of all p-adic fields with negative drift. We prove the following :

Theorem. *Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$ that is not supported by an Abelian subgroup and such that*

$$\int_{\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})} \left(\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left| \ln |a|_p \right| + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P} \cup \{\infty\}} \ln^+ |b|_p \right) d\mu(a,b) < +\infty.$$

Then there exists a unique μ-invariant probability measure ν^* on the topological product

$$B^* = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P} \cup \{\infty\} : \phi_p < 0} \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

and the measure space (B^*, ν^*) is the Poisson boundary of the random walk of law μ.

Furthermore, the measure ν^* carries no point mass except in the case when B^* collapses to a single point, namely when $\phi_p \geq 0$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P} \cup \{\infty\}$. Since the p-drifts have to satisfies to $\phi_\infty = -\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \phi_p$, we deduce that the Poisson boundary is trivial if and only if all p-drifts are null.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 1, we quickly introduce the basic concepts of μ-boundary and of Poisson boundary. In section 2, we summarize well known results on the contracting action of $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$ on the fields $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We deduce that B^* is a μ-boundary that is a good candidate to be maximal. We also observe that, even though the topological space B^* is not locally compact, the measure ν^* is supported by a set $B^*_{\mathbf{r}}$ that is in fact a restricted topological product of the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with respect to some of their compact discs, and thus it can be endowed with a locally compact topology homeomorphic to a sub-space of the Adele ring. To prove that (B^*, ν^*) is in fact the Poisson boundary, we use the techniques based on the estimation of the entropy introduced by Kaimanovich and Vershik [KV83] and Derrienic [Der80], and, in particular, the criterion on the entropy of the conditional expectation due to Kaimanovich [Kai00]. Our main tool is the construction of a suitable family of gauges in terms of what we shall call an *adelic length* on $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$, based on the arithmetic *height* of the Adeles (section 3). This permits to estimate the growth of the random walk and prove some laws of large numbers (section 4). In section 5, using the projection of B^* onto finite-dimensional μ-boundaries, we can prove that its conditional entropy is zero and, thus, that it is the Poisson boundary.

In our previous note [Bro], we studied the Poisson boundary for measures μ that are supported by finitely generated subgroups of $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$, using the Strip approximation criterion [Kai00]. This technique cannot be applied directly in the present more general context, since the random walk grows faster and it is not straightforward to exhibit a global geometrical approximation. On the other hand, the adelic length provides a suitable tool to control the entropy of the μ-boundaries and, since the technical arguments turn up to be quite light, it is likely that this approach can be adapted to more general algebraic groups over rational numbers.

1. μ-boundaries and Poisson boundary

Let μ be a probability measure on a countable group G and let $\{g_n\}_n$ be a sequence of independent random elements with law μ on G. Consider the (right) *random walk* $\{x_n\}_n$ starting at the identity, which is the process on G defined by

$$x_n = g_1 \cdots g_n \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We denote by $(G^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{P})$ the probability space of trajectories of the random walk and by $\mathbb{E}$ the associated expectation.

Let B a locally compact G-space endowed with a μ-invariant probability measure ν such that $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely $x_n\nu$ converges vaguely to a Dirac measure (where for every $g \in G$ the measure $g\nu$ is given by $g\nu(f) = \int_B f(gz)d\nu(z)$). According to Furstenberg [Fur73], the space (B, ν) is a *μ-boundary* and the *Poisson boundary* is the maximal of such spaces, namely it is a μ-boundary such that any other μ-boundary is one of its measurable G-equinvariant quotients.

One can define a measurable map $\mathbf{bnd} = \mathbf{bnd}_B$ from the space $(G^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{P})$ to the μ-boundary (B, ν) that associates to a path $\mathbf{x} = \{x_n\}$ the point $\mathbf{bnd}(\mathbf{x})$ of B such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n\nu = \delta_{\mathbf{bnd}(\mathbf{x})} \qquad \text{almost surely.}$$

In other words, the action of the random walk on B contracts to $\mathbf{bnd}(\mathbf{x})$, which contains all the the informations on the asymptotic behavior of x_n acting on B.

As a measure space, the Poisson boundary is unique and there exist several equivalent constructions for a generic countable group. For instance, it can be identified with the quotient of the probability space $(G^{\mathbb{N}}, \mathbb{P})$ by the equivalence relation

$$\{x_n\}_n \sim \{x'_n\}_n \iff \exists k, h \in \mathbb{N} : x_{n+k} = x'_{n+h} \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

namely with the measure space that contains all possible long term behaviors of the random walk. A classical question is to give a tangible description of this measure space and to recognize when a given topological (or measure) space, which is known to be μ-boundary, is in fact the Poisson boundary.

2. μ-boundaries of $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$

The group of rational affinities

$$\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q}) = \{(a, b) : x \mapsto ax + b \mid a \in \mathbb{Q}^*,\, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

has by definition an action on the group of rational numbers. However, $\mathbb{Q}$ endowed with the discrete topology cannot be a μ-boundary, because it cannot support a stationary probability measure, except in degenerate cases.

The action of $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$ on the rational numbers extends naturally to the real line $\mathbb{R}$, but also to the p-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for all prime numbers p. These fields are the completion of $\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to *p-adic norm*

$$|q|_p = p^{-v_p(q)},$$

where the *p-adic valuation* of an integer r is $v_p(r) = \max\left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid p^{-k}r \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $v_p(r/s) = v_p(r) - v_p(s)$, while $|0|_p = 0$. The real and the p-adic norms are known to be the only possible norms on $\mathbb{Q}$ adapted to its field structure.

Since the real and p-adic fields are formally similar, it is useful to associate the "prime number" $p = \infty$ to the real setting; thus $\mathbb{Q}_\infty$ is $\mathbb{R}$, the Euclidean norm is $|\cdot|_\infty$ and so on. We denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the set of all true prime numbers and write $\overline{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Let us consider a probability measure μ on $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$ and the associated random walk x_n obtained as the product of the sequence $\{g_n = (a_n, b_n)\}_n$ of random affinities with law μ. A simple calculation shows that

$$x_n = (A_n, Z_n) = (a_1 \cdots a_n, \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_1 \cdots a_{k-1} b_k).$$

We always suppose that the law μ is *non-degenerate*, that is :

$$\mathbb{P}\left[a_1 = 1\right] \neq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}\left[a_1 z + b_1 = z\right] \neq 1 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

In fact, whenever this does not hold, the random walk degenerates either to a sum of independent random variables in $\mathbb{Q}$ or to a product of independent elements in $\mathbb{Q}^*$(using the map $(a, b) \mapsto (a, az + b)$). In both cases the support of μ generates an Abelian group, and it is well known that the Poisson boundary is trivial.

If for some $p \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ the measure μ has a (logarithmic) *first p-moment*, that is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\ln |a_1|_p + \ln^+ |b_1|_p\right] < \infty,$$

the parameter that determines whether the action on the respective field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is contracting is the *p-drift*

$$\phi_p = \mathbb{E}\left[\ln |a_1|_p\right].$$

In fact one has the following classical results

Lemma 1. *Suppose that μ is non-degenerate and has a first p-moment.*

a. *If $\phi_p < 0$, the infinite sum*

$$Z_\infty^p = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_1 \cdots a_{k-1} b_k \tag{1}$$

converges almost surely in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ to a random element with law ν_p, which carries no point mass. Furthermore $(\mathbb{Q}_p, \nu_p)$ is a μ-boundary.

b. *If $\phi_p \geq 0$, there exists no stationary probability measure on $\mathbb{Q}_p$.*

Proof. For the convenience of the reader, we give a sketch of the proof.

First observe that, since the measure μ is supposed to be non-degenerate, no stationary probability measure ν can carry a point mass. In fact, suppose that some point of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ carries a non-null mass. Let M be the maximum of such masses and $S = \{z \in \mathbb{Q}_p | \nu(\{z\}) = M\}$. Then $g \cdot S = S$ for all g in the support of μ. Let $s \in S$, since the measure is not degenerate there exists $g \in \mathrm{supp}\mu$ such that $g \cdot s \neq s$.

But since each affinity fixes just one point of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, the orbit $\{g^n \cdot s\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is infinite and thus S should be infinite too, which is absurd.

a. $\phi_p < 0$. For more details on the real case see [Ver79] and on the ultra-metric case see [CKW94].

Observe that by the Law of large numbers, the process

$$|a_1 \cdots a_n|_p = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln |a_i|_p\right)$$

converges almost surely to zero with exponential speed (roughly as $\exp(n\phi_p)$). On the other hand, since $\ln^+ |b_1|_p$ is integrable, $\ln^+ |b_n|_p \Big/ n$ converges almost surely to zero. Thus the infinite sum (1) converges, because its general term goes to zero exponentially.

Furthermore, $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely for all $z \in \mathbb{Q}_p$

$$x_n \cdot z = A_n x + Z_n \;\to\; Z_\infty^p \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Thus, by dominated convergence, for every continuous bounded function f on $\mathbb{Q}_p$

$$x_n \nu_p(f) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f\left(A_n x + Z_n\right) \nu_p(dx) \to \delta_{Z_\infty^p}(f) \qquad \mathbb{P} - \text{almost surely}\ .$$

b. $\phi_p \geq 0$. Bougerol and Picard [BP92] obtained an analogous result for stationary sequences of multidimensional real affinities. We translate here their proof to the case of a sequence of independent p-adic affinities.

Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be a true prime number and suppose that there exists a stationary probability measure ν on $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let f be a non-negative bounded function on $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with compact support and consider the process

$$W_n = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f(x_n \cdot z) d\nu(z).$$

This is a bounded martingale and, thus, it converges almost surely and in L^1 to a non-negative random variable W_∞. Furthermore, if $f \neq 0$, the random variable W_∞ is not null, because its mean is $\nu(f)$. Let now

$$m_n = \max\left\{|A_n|_p, |Z_n|_p\right\}.$$

Observe that m_n is a power of p and that $|m_n|_p = m_n^{-1}$.Thus, the sequences $\{m_n A_n\}_n$ and $\{m_n Z_n\}_n$ are bounded in $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Since $\phi_p \geq 0$ (whence $|A_n|_p$ is unbounded), there exists a sub-sequence $\{n_i\}_i$ such that m_{n_i} diverges to $+\infty$ and such that

$$m_{n_i} A_{n_i} \to A \quad \text{and} \quad m_{n_i} Z_{n_i} \to Z \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Q}_p,$$

for some $A, Z \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then, for all $z \neq -Z/A$

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} |x_{n_i} \cdot z|_p = \lim_{i\to\infty} m_{n_i} |m_{n_i} A_{n_i} z + m_{n_i} Z_{n_i}|_p = +\infty$$

Thus, $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely

$$W_\infty = \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f(x_{n_i} \cdot z) d\nu(z) = \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f(x_{n_i} \cdot z) 1_{[z=-Z/A]} d\nu(z) = 0,$$

since ν has no point mass. Thus we obtained a contradiction. □

It follows from this last lemma that an exhaustive μ-boundary of $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$ should involve all p-adic fields with negative drift. Let

$$P^* = \left\{ p \in \overline{\mathcal{P}} \mid \mu \text{ has a first } p\text{-moment and } \phi_p < 0 \right\}$$

and consider the topological sum $B^* = \prod_{p \in P^*} \mathbb{Q}_p$ with the topology $\mathcal{T}_s$ generated by the open sets

$$\prod_{p \in S} O_p \prod_{p \notin S} \mathbb{Q}_p$$

where $S \subseteq P^*$ is finite and the $O_p \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p$ are open. It is easily checked that the action of the random walk on $(B^*, \mathcal{T}_s)$ is contracting. In fact, $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely

$$x_n \cdot \mathbf{z} = (x_n \cdot z_p)_p \to \mathbf{Z}^*_\infty = (Z^p_\infty)_p \quad \text{in } (B^*, \mathcal{T}_s) \tag{2}$$

for all $\mathbf{z} = (z_p)_p \in B^*$. Let ν^* denote the law of $\mathbf{Z}^*_\infty$.

Observe that whenever P^* is infinite the space $(B^*, \mathcal{T}_p)$ is not locally compact. However it is possible to construct a smaller locally compact topological $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$-space that supports the measure ν^*.

Since the random variables Z^p_∞ are almost surely finite, there exists a sequence $\mathbf{r} = (r_p)_{p \in P^*}$ of real positive numbers greater or equal to 1 such that

$$\sum_{p \in P^*} \mathbb{P}\left[|Z^p_\infty|_p > r_p \right] < \infty.$$

Thus by the Borel-Cantelli Lemma

$$\mathbb{P}\left[|Z^p_\infty|_p > r_p \text{ for an infinite number of } p \in P^* \right] = 0,$$

and the random variable $\mathbf{Z}^*_\infty$ is almost surely in the set

$$B^*_{\mathbf{r}} = \left\{ \mathbf{z} \in \prod_{p \in P^*} \mathbb{Q}_p : |z_p|_p \leq r_p \text{ for all } p \text{ but a finite number} \right\}.$$

This set is locally compact (second countable), if considered as the restricted topological product of the $(\mathbb{Q}_p)_{p \in P^*}$ with respect to the discs $D_p(\mathbf{r})$ of center 0 and radius r_p in $\mathbb{Q}_p$, that is endowed with the topology $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{r}}$ generated by the open sets

$$\prod_{p \in S} O_p \prod_{p \notin S} D_p(\mathbf{r})$$

where $S \subseteq P^*$ is finite and the O_p are open subsets of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

The topology $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{r}}$ is finer than the restriction of the product topology $\mathcal{T}_s$ to $B^*_{\mathbf{r}}$, but the sigma-algebras they generate coincide. Thus $(B^*_{\mathbf{r}}, \nu^*)$ and (B^*, ν^*) are the same probability space and, even if the action on $B^*_{\mathbf{r}}$ is not strongly contracting as in (2), we have the following

Proposition 1. *$(B^*, \nu^*) = (B^*_{\mathbf{r}}, \nu^*)$ is a μ-boundary.*

Proof. Let $\overline{B^*_{\mathbf{r}}}$ be the one point compactification of $B^*_{\mathbf{r}}$. Thus the sequence $\{x_n \nu^*\}_n$ of probability measures on $\overline{B^*_{\mathbf{r}}}$ is relatively compact. Let ν' be an accumulation point. By (2), for every bounded function f continuous with respect to $\mathcal{T}_s$, the sequence $x_n \nu^*(f)$ converges to $f(\mathbf{Z}^*_\infty)$. Thus $\nu' = \delta_{\mathbf{Z}^*_\infty}$ on the sigma-algebra generated by $\mathcal{T}_s$ that coincides with the sigma-algebra generated by $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{r}}$. □

Remarks. 1. Since the real and p-adic norms satisfy the following relation

$$|q|_\infty = \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} |q|_p^{-1} \qquad \forall q \in \mathbb{Q}^*,$$

if all the p-drifts exist, one has

$$\phi_\infty = -\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \phi_p.$$

Thus the ϕ_p cannot be all simultaneously negative and $P^* \neq \overline{\mathcal{P}}$. This implies that the space B^* and $B^*_{\mathbf{r}}$ do not involve all the possible completions of the rationals numbers. The Strong approximation theorem (see for instance Cassels [CF67] , page 67) ensures then that diagonal embedding of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $B^*_{\mathbf{r}}$ is always dense. It follows that when the support of the measure μ generates $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$ as a semi-group, then the support of measure ν^* is the whole of $B^*_{\mathbf{r}}$, and thus this boundary is in some sense minimal.

2. The *Adele ring* $\mathbb{A}$ is the restricted topological product of all $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with $p \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ with respect the disc $D_p(1)$ of center 0 and radius 1 (see for instance [CF67], page 63). Since it is possible to choose the r_p in the form p^k (thus $|r_p|_p = r_p^{-1}$), the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^*_{\mathbf{r}} & \to & B^*_{\mathbf{1}} \\ (z_p)_p & \mapsto & (r_p z_p) \end{array}$$

is a homeomorphism, which embeds $B^*_{\mathbf{r}}$ into a sub-space of the Adele ring. However, this map is not an isomorphism of $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$-spaces and, although it is possible to formalize explicit conditions under which $\mathbf{Z}^*_\infty$ is almost surely in $\mathbb{A}$, this is not true in a general setting.

3. Gauges on $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$

In the previous section we have provided what seems a good candidate to be the Poisson boundary. To prove that this boundary contains all the informations on the tail of the trajectories, we need to estimate the growth of the random walk with respect to the geometry adapted to this boundary. We have seen that $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$ can be embedded in each of the affine group over the p-adic or real fields, whence it is natural to use the associated norms. However, since $\mathbb{Q}$ is dense in $\mathbb{Q}_p$, in order to obtain a topological space that respects the discrete structure of $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$, one has to consider the diagonal embedding

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q}) & \hookrightarrow & H := \mathbb{Q}^* \times \mathbb{A} \\ (a,b) & \mapsto & (a,(b)_p). \end{array}$$

In fact, since $\mathbb{Q}$ is discrete in the Adele ring (see [CF67]) and $\mathbb{Q}^*$ is endowed with the discrete topology, $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$ is discrete in H. We would like to observe that, since the boundary B^* is not contained in the Adeles, one may be tempted to use instead of $\mathbb{A}$ the restricted topological product of the $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with respect to some bigger discs $D_p(\mathbf{r})$, but the resulting embedding would not be discrete.

The space H can be endowed with a group structure by extending the product on $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$, that is, setting

$$(a,(z_p)_p)(a',(z'_p)_p) = (aa',(az'_p + z_p)_p).$$

For every $q \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ set

$$\langle q \rangle := \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left| \ln |q|_p \right| .$$

Observe that even if this function may appear exotic, it can be easily calculated since for every irreducible fraction $\frac{r}{s}$ of integers, one has $\left\langle \frac{r}{s} \right\rangle = \ln r + \ln s$.

For all $\mathbf{z} = (z_p)_p \in \mathbb{A}$, also set

$$\langle \mathbf{z} \rangle^+ := \sum_{p \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}} \ln^+ |z_p|_p$$

This function,well known in number theory (see for instance Lang [Lan66]), is called *height*.

Finally for all $(a, b) \in H$ we define the *adelic length*

$$\|(a, b)\| = \langle a \rangle + \langle b \rangle^+ ,$$

which plays, in some way, the role of the word length in this non-finitely-generated context.

The function $\|\cdot\|$ is not sub-additive, but we have the following relation

$$\|y_1 y_2\| \leq \ln 2 + 2 \|y_1\| + \|y_2\| \qquad \forall y_1, y_2 \in H$$

In fact

$$\langle a_1 a_2 \rangle \leq \langle a_1 \rangle + \langle a_2 \rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b_1 + a_1 b_2 \rangle^+ &= \ln^+ |b_1 + a_1 b_2|_\infty + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \ln^+ |b_1 + a_1 b_2|_p \\ &\leq \ln 2 + \ln^+ |b_1|_\infty + \ln^+ |a_1 b_2|_\infty + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \max\{\ln^+ |b_1|_p , \ln^+ |a_1 b_2|_p\} \\ &\leq \ln 2 + \langle b_1 \rangle^+ + \langle a_1 \rangle + \langle b_2 \rangle^+ . \end{aligned}$$

Define the gauge $\mathcal{G}^y = \{\mathcal{G}_k^y\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of center $y \in H$ by setting

$$\mathcal{G}_k^y = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q}) | \left\| g^{-1} y \right\| \leq k \right\} .$$

The sets $\mathcal{G}_k^y$ are not empty and they exhaust the whole group. Furthermore their growth is controlled by the following :

Lemma 2. *The family of gauges $\{\mathcal{G}^y\}_{y \in H}$ has uniform exponential growth, that is, there exists $C > 0$ such that card$\{\mathcal{G}_k^y\} \leq \mathrm{e}^{Ck}$ for all $y \in H$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. First observe that $\mathrm{card}\{q \in \mathbb{Q}^* | \langle q \rangle \leq k\} \leq 2\mathrm{e}^{2k}$, since we remarked that $\left\langle \frac{r}{s} \right\rangle = \ln r + \ln s$ when $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Also observe that if $q = \frac{r}{s} \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ then

$$\left\langle \frac{r}{s} \right\rangle^+ = \left\langle -\frac{r}{s} \right\rangle^+ = \ln s + (\ln r - \ln s)^+$$

thus

$$\frac{\langle q \rangle}{2} \leq \langle q \rangle^+ \leq \langle q \rangle .$$

We can easily conclude that

$$\mathrm{card}\left\{\mathcal{G}_k^{(1,0)}\right\} = \mathrm{card}\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Q}^* \times \mathbb{Q} : \langle a \rangle + \langle b \rangle^+ \leq k\right\} \leq 2\mathrm{e}^{2k}(2\mathrm{e}^{2k} + 1).$$

Take now a generic $y = (a, \mathbf{z}) \in H$. It is known (see for instance Cassels [CF67], page 65), that since $a^{-1}\mathbf{z}$ is in the Adele ring, there exists $b \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\left|a^{-1}z_p - b\right|_p \leq 1$ for all $p \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}$. Let $y' = (a, ab)$ and $t = (1, \left(b - a^{-1}z_p\right)_p)$. Then

$$\left\|g^{-1}y'\right\| = \left\|g^{-1}yt\right\| \leq \ln 2 + 2\left\|g^{-1}y\right\| + \|t\| = \ln 2 + 2\left\|g^{-1}y\right\|,$$

and thus $\mathcal{G}_k^y \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\ln 2+2k}^{y'}$. Finally, since $y' \in \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$ and

$$\mathcal{G}_k^y \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\ln 2+2k}^{y'} = y'\mathcal{G}_{\ln 2+2k}^{(1,0)},$$

the lemma follows. □

4. Laws of large numbers

Suppose now that the law μ of the random walk has a first moment with respect to the gauge function $\|\cdot\|$, that is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|(a_1, b_1)\|\right] = \sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\ln|a_1|_p\right|\right] + \sum_{p\in\overline{\mathcal{P}}} \mathbb{E}\left[\ln^+|b_1|_p\right] < \infty.$$

Observe that this global moment condition implies that μ has all first p-moments, thus that all p-drifts exist, and

$$\sum_{p\in\overline{\mathcal{P}}} |\phi_p| < \infty.$$

However, this condition is not very strong, since it is equivalent to ask that the numerators and denominators of a_1 and b_1 have finite logarithmic moment.

We are going to control the growth of the random walk

$$x_n = (a_1, b_1)\cdots(a_n, b_n) = (A_n, B_n)$$

with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ by providing a sequence of points in H depending only on the boundary point $\mathbf{bnd}(\mathbf{x})$ that well approximates the path $\mathbf{x} = \{x_n\}$.

We have already observed that the p-adic norm of the linear part A_n of the random walk is just the exponential of a sum of i.i.d. random variables whose mean is the p-drift. Thus we can approximate A_n with the rational number

$$q_n := \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} p^{-[n\frac{\phi_p}{\ln p}]},$$

whose p-norm is of the order of $\mathrm{e}^{n\phi_p}$ (where $[x]$ is the integer part of x and q_n is in $\mathbb{Q}$, as $\phi_p/\ln p$ converges to zero when p grow). This approximation holds not only locally on each field $\mathbb{Q}_p$, but also globally when we consider all fields together.

Lemma 3. *$\left\langle A_n^{-1}q_n\right\rangle / n$ converges in L^1 to zero.*

Proof. Observe, for every $p \in \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ by the ergodic theorem

$$\frac{\ln\left|A_n^{-1}q_n\right|_p}{n} = \frac{-\sum_{k=1}^n \ln|a_k|_p + \phi_p - \phi_p + \ln p[n\frac{\phi_p}{\ln p}]}{n} \to 0$$

in L^1. Thus, by dominated convergence, the sequence

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\left\langle A_n^{-1}q_n\right\rangle}{n}\right] = \sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\ln\left|A_n^{-1}q_n\right|_p\right|\right]}{n}$$

converges to zero, because each term of the infinite sum converges to zero and is dominated by $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\ln|a_1|_p\right|\right] + |\phi_p|$, which is summable over $p \in \mathcal{P}$. □

In the first part of this paper, we have shown that the action of the random walk on the different fields $\mathbb{Q}_p$ depends on the sign of the p-drift. Thus, we are going to decompose the Adeles in different parts and, for all $P \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{P}}$, we define a partial height

$$\langle \mathbf{z} \rangle_P^+ := \sum_{p \in P} \ln^+ |z_p|_p \qquad \mathbf{z} \in \prod_{p \in P} \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Suppose that for all $p \in P$ the p-drift is negative. Then the translation component Z_n of the random walk converges in $\prod_{p\in P} \mathbb{Q}_p$, which is in fact a μ-boundary. Let then

$$\mathbf{Z}_\infty^P := (Z_\infty^p)_{p\in P} = \lim_{n\to\infty} Z_n \qquad \text{in } \prod_{p\in P} \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Observe that, if $\mathbf{0} := (0)_p \in \prod_{p\in P} \mathbb{Q}_p$, then

$$x_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_\infty^P = (g_1 \cdots g_n)^{-1} \lim_{k\to\infty} g_1 \cdots g_k \cdot \mathbf{0} = \lim_{k\to\infty} g_{n+1} \cdots g_k \cdot \mathbf{0} \cong \mathbf{Z}_\infty^P$$

where the last equality is in law. Thus we have proved the following :

Lemma 4. *Suppose that $\phi_p < 0$ for all $p \in P \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{P}}$. The sequence*

$$x_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_\infty^P$$

is stationary. Thus, if $\left\langle \mathbf{Z}_\infty^P \right\rangle_P^+$ is almost surely finite, then $\left\langle x_n^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_\infty^P \right\rangle_P^+ \Big/ n$ converges in probability to zero.

We would like to remark that, in the general case, this result does not apply directly to the boundary point in the most complete boundary B^*. In fact when $\mathbf{Z}_\infty^*$ is not contained in the subspace $B_{\mathbf{1}}^*$ of the Adeles, its partial height is almost surely infinite. We will deal with this problem by projecting B^* on products of finitely many $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

To estimate Z_n on the other directions we use the fowling

Lemma 5. *For all $P \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{P}}$*

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\langle Z_n \rangle_P^+}{n} \leq \sum_{p\in P} \phi_p^+ + \varepsilon\right] \to 1$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. First observe that if $p \in \mathcal{P}$, by the ultra-metric property

$$\ln^+ |Z_n|_p \leq \max_{1\leq k\leq n} \ln^+ |a_1 \cdots a_{k-1} b_k|_p =: M_n^p.$$

For $p = \infty$, keeping the same notation, one has

$$\ln^+ |Z_n|_\infty \leq \ln n + M_n^\infty.$$

Let $S_n^p = \sum_{k=1}^n \ln |a_k|_p$. The sequence

$$u_n^p = \frac{M_n^p}{n} - \phi_p^+ = \max_{1\leq k\leq n} \frac{\left(S_k^p + \ln|b_k|_p\right)^+}{n} - \phi_p^+$$

converges to zero almost surely to zero, because $n^{-1}\left(S_n^p + \ln|b_n|_p\right)^+$ converges to ϕ_p^+. Since the u_n^p is bounded by $|\phi_p| + n^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\left|\ln|a_k|_p\right| + \ln^+|b_k|_p\right)$, which converges in L^1, it is uniformly integrable and, thus converges to zero also in L^1. Therefore, since

$$\mathbb{E}\left[u_n^p\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\ln|a_1|_p\right| + \ln^+|b_1|_p\right] + |\phi_p|$$

and

$$\sum_{p\in P}\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\ln|a_1|_p\right| + \ln^+|b_1|_p\right] + |\phi_p| \leq 3\mathbb{E}\left[\|(a_1,b_1)\|\right] < \infty,$$

the sequence $\left\{\sum_{p\in P} u_n^p\right\}_n$ converges to zero in L^1, when $n\to\infty$. Finally, as

$$\langle Z_n\rangle_P^+ \leq \ln n + \sum_{p\in P} M_n^p \leq \ln n + n\cdot\left(\sum_{p\in P} u_n^p + \sum_{p\in P}\phi_p^+\right),$$

the lemma follows. □

It is now possible to estimate the growth of the random walk on $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Proposition 2. *Let P be a finite subset of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ such that $\phi_p < 0$ for $p\in P$ and let*

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_n = \pi_n^P : \prod_{p\in P}\mathbb{Q}_p &\longrightarrow H\\ \mathbf{z} &\mapsto (q_n, \mathbf{z}\cup(0)_{p\notin P}).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\left\|x_n^{-1}\pi_n(\mathbf{Z}_\infty^P)\right\|}{n} \leq \sum_{p\in P^c}\phi_p^- + \varepsilon\right] \to 1$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\left\|x_n^{-1}\pi_n(\mathbf{Z}_\infty^P)\right\| = \left\langle A_n^{-1}q_n\right\rangle + \left\langle x_n^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{Z}_\infty^P\right\rangle_P^+ + \left\langle x_n^{-1}\cdot 0_{P^c}\right\rangle_{P^c}^+.$$

For any fixed time n, the product $x_n^{-1} = g_n^{-1}\cdots g_1^{-1}$ has the same distribution of the random walk $\check{x}_n = (\check{A}_n, \check{Z}_n)$ associated with the measure $\check{\mu}$, image of μ by the inversion in the group. Thus, since $x_n^{-1}\cdot 0_{P^c}$ has the same law as $\check{Z}_n$ and the p-drift associated to $\check{\mu}$ is $\check{\phi}_p = -\phi_p$, we can apply the previous lemmas in order to conclude. □

5. The Poisson boundary of $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q})$

As announced, we are going to prove that the μ-boundary B^* is in fact the Poisson boundary by using the criterion based on the entropy of the conditional expectation developed by Kaimanovich [Kai00]. Suppose that the measure μ has finite entropy

$$-\sum_{q\in G}\mu(g)\ln\mu(g) < \infty.$$

Consider the family $\mathbb{P}^z$ of probability measures obtained conditioning measure $\mathbb{P}$ with respect to the events $\mathbf{bnd}_B(\mathbf{x}) = z$ and let $\mathbb{P}_n^z$ be the corresponding measure on the group, obtained by the projection $\mathbf{x}\mapsto x_n$. Then Theorem 4.6 in [Kai00] says

that the μ-boundary (B,ν) is in the Poisson boundary if and only if for ν-almost all $z \in B$

$$-\frac{1}{n}\ln \mathbb{P}_n^z(x_n) \to 0 \qquad \mathbb{P}^z(d\mathbf{x}) - \text{almost surely.}$$

Theorem 1. *Suppose that μ has a first moment with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. Then (B^*,ν^*) is the Poisson boundary.*

Proof. Since μ has a first moment with respect to a gauge with exponential growth, it has finite entropy by [Der86].

Observe that if $\mathbf{Z}_\infty^*$ were in the Adele ring, then Proposition 2 would hold also for $P = P^*$ and $\left\|x_n^{-1}\pi_n(\mathbf{Z}_\infty^*)\right\|/n$ would converge to 0 in probability, since $\sum_{p\notin P^*}\phi_p^- = 0$. Then by Theorem 5.4 in [Kai00], we could directly prove that (B^*,ν^*) is the Poisson boundary. But since $\langle \mathbf{Z}_\infty^*\rangle_{P^*}^+$ is not necessarily finite, we need to be more careful.

Let P be a finite subset of P^*. For $\mathbf{z} \in \prod_{p\in\overline{\mathcal{P}}}\mathbb{Q}_p$, let $\mathbf{z}^P$ be the projection on $\prod_{p\in P}\mathbb{Q}_p$ and set according to the notation of Proposition 2

$$\pi_n(\mathbf{z}) = \pi_n^P(\mathbf{z}) := \pi_n(\mathbf{z}^P).$$

Fix an $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $K = \sum_{p\notin P}\phi_p^- + \varepsilon$. Since $\langle \mathbf{Z}_\infty^*\rangle_P^+$ is finite,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[x_n \in \mathcal{G}_{n\cdot K}^{\pi_n(\mathbf{Z}_\infty^*)}\right] = \int_{B^*}\mathbb{P}_n^z\left[\mathcal{G}_{n\cdot K}^{\pi_n(z)}\right]\nu^*(dz) \to 1$$

and $\mathbb{P}_n^z\left[\mathcal{G}_{n\cdot K}^{\pi_n(z)}\right]$ converges to 1 for ν^*-almost all z.

Let h be the the $\mathbb{P}^z$-almost sure limit of $-\ln \mathbb{P}_n^z(x_n)/n$, which exists for ν^*-almost all z according to [Kai00], and consider the set

$$A_n = \{g \in \mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{Q}) | -h-\varepsilon < \ln \mathbb{P}_n^z(g)/n < -h+\varepsilon\}.$$

Then $\mathbb{P}_n^z(A_n \cap \mathcal{G}_{n\cdot K}^{\pi_n(z)})$ converges to 1, while

$$\mathbb{P}_n^z(A_n \cap \mathcal{G}_{n\cdot K}^{\pi_n(z)}) \le \mathrm{e}^{n(\varepsilon-h)}\mathrm{card}\left\{\mathcal{G}_{n\cdot K}^{\pi_n(z)}\right\} \le \mathrm{e}^{n(\varepsilon-h)}\mathrm{e}^{(C+\varepsilon)\cdot n\cdot K}.$$

where C is the parameter of the exponential growth of the gauges $\mathcal{G}^y$. Thus, $(C+\varepsilon)\cdot K - h + \varepsilon \ge 0$ and, since ε was arbitrarily chosen, $h \le C\cdot\sum_{p\notin P}\phi_p^-$. Now, we let P grow to P^* and we obtain

$$h \le C\cdot \inf_{P\subseteq P^*,\ \text{finite}}\sum_{p\notin P}\phi_p^- = C\cdot\sum_{p\notin P^*}\phi_p^- = 0.$$

□

References

[BBE97] Martine Babillot, Philippe Bougerol, and Laure Elie. The random difference equation $X_n = A_nX_{n-1} + B_n$ in the critical case. *Ann. Probab.*, 25(1):478–493, 1997.

[BP92] Philippe Bougerol and Nico Picard. Strict stationarity of generalized autoregressive processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 20(4):1714–1730, 1992.

[Bro] Sara Brofferio. Poisson boundary for finitely generated groups of rational affinities. Preprint.

[Bro03] Sara Brofferio. Speed of locally contractive stochastic systems. *Ann. Probab.*, 31(4):2040–2067, 2003.

[CF67] J.W.S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, editors. *Algebraic number theory*. Proceedings of an instructional conference organized by the London Mathematical Society (a NATO Advanced Study Institute) with the support of the International Mathematical Union. Academic Press, London, 1967.

[CKW94] D. I. Cartwright, V. A. Kaĭmanovich, and W. Woess. Random walks on the affine group of local fields and of homogeneous trees. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 44(4):1243–1288, 1994.

[Der80] Yves Derriennic. Quelques applications du théorème ergodique sous-additif. In *Conference on Random Walks (Kleebach, 1979) (French)*, volume 74 of *Astérisque*, pages 183–201. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1980.

[Der86] Y. Derriennic. Entropie, théorèmes limite et marches aléatoires. In *Probability measures on groups, VIII (Oberwolfach, 1985)*, volume 1210 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 241–284. Springer, Berlin, 1986.

[Éli84] Laure Élie. Noyaux potentiels associés aux marches aléatoires sur les espaces homogènes. Quelques exemples clefs dont le groupe affine. In *Théorie du potentiel (Orsay, 1983)*, volume 1096 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 223–260. Springer, Berlin, 1984.

[Fur73] Harry Furstenberg. Boundary theory and stochastic processes on homogeneous spaces. In *Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVI, Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1972)*, pages 193–229. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.

[Kai91] Vadim A. Kaimanovich. Poisson boundaries of random walks on discrete solvable groups. In *Probability measures on groups, X (Oberwolfach, 1990)*, pages 205–238. Plenum, New York, 1991.

[Kai00] Vadim A. Kaimanovich. The Poisson formula for groups with hyperbolic properties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 152(3):659–692, 2000.

[Kes73] Harry Kesten. Random difference equations and renewal theory for products of random matrices. *Acta Math.*, 131:207–248, 1973.

[KV83] V. A. Kaĭmanovich and A. M. Vershik. Random walks on discrete groups: boundary and entropy. *Ann. Probab.*, 11(3):457–490, 1983.

[Lan66] Serge Lang. *Introduction to diophantine approximations*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1966.

[Ver79] Wim Vervaat. On a stochastic difference equation and a representation of nonnegative infinitely divisible random variables. *Adv. in Appl. Probab.*, 11(4):750–783, 1979.